



The Efficacy of Self-Directed CBT Curriculum

TREVOR LLOYD

The benefits of cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) in the treatment of justice-involved individuals are well-documented (Feucht & Holt, 2016). However, the implementation of traditional group CBT is met with several challenges to reaching the potential of these methodologies.

This article explores how self-directed manualized cognitive behavioral therapy (MCBT) can overcome these challenges while maintaining and expanding the benefits of the group CBT approach. It discusses treatment innovations, statistically significant outcomes that include reduced recidivism, comple-

mentary evidence-based research, and program efficacy of an unnamed sample MCBT curriculum. Practical applications and scalability also are addressed.

Methodology of the Sample MCBT Curriculum

Following National Institute of Corrections (NIC) guidelines (NIC and Crime & Justice Institute, n.d.), the sample self-directed MCBT curriculum involves a prosocial mentor to assist the participant in completing the evidence-based cognitive courses that make up the curriculum. Each of the curriculum's 17 adult available courses

(and 8 juvenile courses) begins with vicarious stories about an individual facing the kinds of problems with which the participant is experiencing. Next, the courses ask the participant to think about and understand the character's situation. Finally, the courses require writing and self-reflection based on the principles of CBT. Each course includes stories and writing prompts, and application/skill-building sections. Participants are required to check in with both prosocial mentors and their supervision officer or counselor throughout the use of the curriculum.

CBT Versus MCBT

Challenges of Traditional Group CBT

- Limited interaction with prosocial mentors
- Time and costs needed to build facilitation and program capabilities
- Delays during CBT group formation/scheduling conflicts
- Need for travel (especially rural participants)
- Need for increased motivation and reduced resistance
- Need for greater interaction between participants and leaders

How MCBT Overcomes the Challenges

- Prosocial mentors replace group interaction
- Self-directed curriculum replaces need for traditional program capabilities
- Flexible completion of curriculum on client's schedule
- Flexible completion of curriculum at client's location
- Increased intrinsic motivation with prosocial mentors and storytelling
- Improved interactions with referring professionals by providing valuable feedback

Duwe (2017) stated: "Programming that increases prosocial sources of support warrants greater attention as a correctional intervention, not only because of its demonstrated efficacy in reducing recidivism, but also because of its potential cost effectiveness."

Benefits of a Flexible MCBT Curriculum

The MCBT curriculum modality differs from alternative group therapies in essential ways. First, it allows for the use of prosocial mentors rather than group settings. Working with these prosocial companions rather than other justice-involved individuals has proved beneficial in reducing recidivism.

This aligns with the results of a study sponsored by the National Institute of Justice. In his report, "The Use and Impact of Correctional Programming for Inmates on Pre- and Post-Release Outcomes,"

Prosocial Approach. As discussed by the study's author, a prosocial approach is both effective (because of the positive coaching and external support it provides) and cost-effective. In the sample curriculum's methodology, any at-risk individual can participate, and there is reduced need to train supervision officers or counselors to lead group classes. This modality is also highly scalable; widely available; and can be used during incarceration, after incarceration, or as an alternative to incarceration. It also can be used among historically difficult-to-serve populations, including those in rural

settings (Timko et al., 2018). In that study, researchers found that "services adapted to rural settings that target [criminogenic need] factors, such as telehealth and other technology-based resources, may hasten improvement on both [substance use and criminal activity] outcomes among drug users."

It should be noted that while the sample curriculum focuses primarily on individual courses completed with the help of prosocial mentors, MCBT curriculum has been utilized successfully in group settings, particularly for high-risk populations.

Self-Directed Learning. The next essential way an MCBT curriculum differs from other modalities is its reliance on self-directed learning. The system gives justice-involved individuals more control over their own learning, challenging them to complete the material on their own. This motivates them to think of their own solutions for both the stories about others as well as their own life challenges. Moreover, justice-involved individuals appreciate this approach. As one participant in a study using the sample program shared, " 'this workbook should be given to every criminal, every drug user, every person stuck in neutral in life.' Moreover, most participants indicated they would recommend this program to others" (McGrath, 2018).

Storytelling. The third essential way this MCBT modality differs is the opportunity it provides to use a storytelling approach, which disarms participants' objections to help them change the way they think. In "Utilizing Traditional Storytelling to Promote Wellness in American Indian Communities," researchers found: "The individual needs this type of introspection to assess, understand, and change his or her behavior. Thus, storytelling becomes a powerful adjunct to health education" (Hodge et al., 2002).

Technology. Finally, MCBT curriculum differs in the opportunities it provides to incorporate technology to enhance treatment effectiveness. “Technology-based assessments and interventions are important therapeutic tools that clinicians can integrate into their work with clients” (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2015). Technology can facilitate ongoing collaboration between referring professionals, justice-involved individuals, and other members of the support team. In the case of our sample curriculum, whether participants choose to take a course online or with a traditional workbook, the curriculum’s publisher provides specific feedback about the participation and completion of each referred client. This type of collaboration with referring professionals provides a platform for targeted feedback, follow-up, and skill training. It also improves the efficiency and effectiveness of their supervision meetings and interactions.

Review of the Literature

Numerous studies and meta-analyses have shown the efficacy of CBT treatment, in general, to reduce recidivism. Researchers with the National Institute of Justice (Feucht & Holt, 2016) found that, “an analysis of programs and practices in *CrimeSolutions.gov* finds that cognitive behavioral therapy can deter crime, assist victims, and prevent recidivism.”

Researchers from the University of North Dakota had similar findings, stating: “Cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) has been gaining popularity as a treatment modality used by probation agencies. This is so much the case that CBT is specifically recommended within the EBP philosophy” (Gottschalk, & Mayzer, n.d.).

NIC and the Crime & Justice Institute (n.d.) advocate the following principles of effective intervention:

- assess actuarial risk/needs,
- enhance intrinsic motivation,
- target interventions,
- skill train with directed practice,
- increase positive reinforcement,
- engage ongoing support in natural communities,
- measure relevant processes/practices, and
- provide measurement feedback.

The sample MCBT courses address each of these principles. For example, it assesses actuarial risk/needs by providing free onsite training to help staffers connect the curriculum with their agency’s risk assessment tools. It enhances intrinsic motivation using prosocial mentors and storytelling, which elicit internal values clarification. It targets interventions by addressing the most important criminogenic risks first, including criminal thinking and pro-criminal networks. Each unit in each course concludes with a skill training and application section. Participant responses are sent directly to referring professionals, who can then target areas in which further skill building is needed.

It increases positive reinforcement with prosocial mentoring, certificates of completion, and, in many cases, incentives from supervising agencies such as shortening the length of supervision. It engages ongoing support in natural communities because learning and changing take place in the context of positive relationships in the home. Instead of connecting participants to the system, this curriculum connects them to their communities, which is a much more sustainable approach. It measures relevant processes and practices with ongoing reports on both completion and recidivism rates, in addition to random internal reviews of completed courses to ensure program fidelity and inform content development. Finally, it provides measurement feedback by sharing reports and studies with referring agencies, providing monthly reports to program super-

visors, and providing instant digital access to participant status.

In addition to reviewing research about CBT in justice-involved populations and principles of effective intervention in recidivism prevention, several studies have examined self-directed interventions to treat mental health problems. In a 2007 meta-analysis (Gellatly et al., 2007), researchers compared 34 studies to “determine whether the content of self-help interventions, the study populations, or aspects of study design were the most important moderators.” They found that “only guided self-help remained significant in the multivariate analysis.”

Research to date points toward CBT techniques, guided self-help, prosocial mentors, and adherence to well-defined principles of effective intervention as evidence-based practices that reduce recidivism.

Recidivism and Completion Rates of the Sample Curriculum

The sample MCBT curriculum is built on these evidence-based practices, and outcomes from its implementation in locations throughout the United States uphold its veracity.

In a six-year period in eight U.S. Probation districts (ACCI, 2018e), a total of 1,137 participants were referred to the sample curriculum.

Of participants, 79.8% completed the curriculum, and the overall revocation rate was 17.8%. The authors cite the following: “Through reviewing the handwritten and eLearning evaluations of those that completed, we have learned that approximately 82% of probationers gave a positive evaluation of their assigned cognitive [sample] course and appreciated an opportunity to learn and implement the cognitive life skills they learned with the help of a ‘coach’ they chose.”

Over a 3-year period in Ohio (ACCI, 2018c), 649 participants were referred to the sample curriculum with an average 73% completion rate in three counties. The recidivism rate averaged 19%, but only 5% and 6%,

respectively, in two of the referring counties.

In the Arizona program Wise Choice Alternatives, 1,336 participants were referred to the sample curriculum over a 14-month period. A total of 92% completed the courses. Of those, the recidivism rate averaged 13%, with 100 participants being reported non-compliant and 150 being convicted of re-offense (ACCI, n.d.-c).

In a 21-month period among Community Supervision & Corrections Departments in Texas (ACCI, 2018b), 781 probationers were referred to a correspondence course version of the curriculum. A total 81.3% of participants completed the course, and researchers studied rates of completion and revocation. Revocation was found to be approximately 15% or less, depending on the year. "Overall results of the [sample] program administered in 15 counties in the state of Texas indicate that results are positive," according to researchers.

In San Diego County Probation (ACCI, 2018a) over a 12-month period, 60 probationers were referred to the sample MCBT curriculum and 51 completed it. Four were convicted of re-offenses and seven were found to be noncompliant with parole, resulting in a recidivism rate of 8%. Among the four who re-violated, only one returned to incarceration.

During a 28-month period with the Oklahoma Department of Corrections Adult Parole & Probation (ACCI, 2018d), 747 probationers were referred to the sample curriculum, with a completion rate of 86% and a recidivism rate of 5%.

In a 30-month period with Arizona State Parole (ACCI, n.d.-b), a total 2,859 probationers were referred to the curriculum. A total of 70% completed the course and, of those, the recidivism rate was 10%.

In an 8-year period in Bastrop County, Texas (ACCI, n.d.-a), the district attorney required all offenders to take the sample MCBT course. Of



1,778 referrals, 77% completed the course and 3.2% repeated the course (after having been reconvicted). This 3.2% recidivism rate was lower than the department's projected 6% to 8% recidivism rate. "Together, all results directly indicate that this form of cognitive restructuring can drastically reduce recidivism rates," researchers stated.

University of North Dakota MCBT Research Experiment

In a comprehensive report by University of North Dakota researchers (Gottschalk & Mayzer, n.d.), the sample MCBT curriculum was used to study evidence-based practices in federal probation. Researchers used the following outcome measures: sum of revocations, sum of non-compliance counts (technical violations), sum of new arrests, and sum of positive drug tests during supervision. Of the 346 offenders in a USPPS-ND database over a 4-year period, 101 began the MCBT treatment and 89 completed the course. A total of 245 offenders received no treatment. On average, participants had moderately high or high-risk scores and were predominantly male and of Native American descent. A subset of participants was analyzed using additional outcome measures — specifically, the Texas Christian University Criminal Thinking Scales (TCU Institute of Behavioral Research, n.d.). These outcomes focus on six cognitive domains: entitlement, justification, power orientation, cold-heartedness, criminal rationalization, and personal irresponsibility.

Initially, many of the outcome measures found no statistical significance between the general popula-

tion and the group that completed the sample MCBT course, but after correcting for certain variables, the "MCBT program was significantly associated with fewer noncompliance reports per year of supervision" (Gottschalk & Mayzer). "Among Native Americans who completed the program, there were significantly fewer revocations (0.27 versus 0.96), significantly fewer noncompliance counts (2.77 versus 5.54), and significantly fewer positive drug tests (0.20 versus 0.37) per year of supervision."

Outcomes using the TCU-CTS showed improvement among participants who completed the course. Researchers reported that, "significant reductions in criminal thinking were found for three of the six TCU-CTS subscales: entitlement, justification, and personal irresponsibility. Although changes on the other three scales (power orientation, cold heartedness, and criminal rationalization) did not reach statistical significance, they followed the same general trend—with average post-test scores lower than average pre-test scores" (Gottschalk & Mayzer, n.d.).

Overall, researchers drew broad conclusions: "The analyses conducted herein provide some reason to believe that the MCBT program used by the North Dakota District may hold promise for reducing criminal thought patterns among offenders as well as improving their consequent behavior" (Gottschalk & Mayzer, n.d.).

Further Research Needed

Much of the research on the sample MCBT curriculum focused on simple program completion and recidivism reduction and, therefore, lacked rigorous scientific controls, including comparisons to recidivism rates experienced by non-program participants. As stated by the authors of the North Dakota study (Gottschalk & Mayzer, n.d.), larger sample sizes, a comprehensive plan that integrates curriculum implementation, random assignment, the use of subgroups and evaluation, thorough pre- and post-analyses

of intervention behaviors, and a database created specifically for evaluation purposes would be tremendously valuable.

Practical Applications

The success of MCBT curriculum shows statistically and substantively significant effects following program completion in regard to recidivism reduction. The research also shows improvement in the cognitive-behavioral realm, including statistically significant improvements in criminal thinking on the TCU Criminal Thinking Scales.

Looking forward, MCBT curriculum has many practical applications, particularly for justice-involved individuals determined to be at low risk or for individuals who do not perform well in groups and those who have transportation or scheduling conflicts. Another ideal application for this curriculum is an after-care approach for clients who have been through an intensive CBT group course and could benefit from additional reinforcement. This curriculum has also proved successful in group settings, particularly for high-risk incarcerated individuals.

In addition, self-directed CBT curriculum is effective outside a classroom setting, particularly for populations that can otherwise be difficult to reach, such as rural populations, day-reporting centers, after-care populations, and populations supervised by parole officers. Often, caseloads for these officers are enormous, and departments lack the time and resources to offer group courses.

With the sample MCBT's pro-social companion aspect, justice-involved individuals can take responsibility for the coursework on their own time and at their own expense. ■

References

- American Community Corrections Institute (n.d.-a) *Bastrop County data*. Retrieved from www.correctionslifefskills.com/files/evidence/Bastrop_County.pdf
- American Community Corrections Institute (n.d.-b). *Evidence based report: ACCI Lifefskills/Arizona State Parole*. Retrieved from www.correctionslifefskills.com/files/evidence/AZ_Parole_2011.pdf
- American Community Corrections Institute (n.d.-c) *Wise Choice Alternatives recidivism report*. Retrieved from <https://accilifefskills.com>
- American Community Corrections Institute (2018a). *Evidenced-based report: San Diego County Probation/ ACCI Lifefskills*. Retrieved from www.correctionslifefskills.com/files/evidence/SD_County_ACCI_Pilot_Study_5-2-12.pdf
- American Community Corrections Institute (2018b). *Home study program—recidivism report*. Retrieved from www.correctionslifefskills.com/files/evidence/15_TX_CSCD_EB_Report.pdf
- American Community Corrections Institute (2018c). *Recidivism report*. Retrieved from www.correctionslifefskills.com/files/evidence/OCEPI_Recidivism_Report.pdf
- American Community Corrections Institute (2018d). *Recidivism report: OK DOC/ACCI Lifefskills-Home Study Program*. Retrieved from www.correctionslifefskills.com/files/evidence/OK_DOC_Recidivism_Report_8-15-12.pdf
- American Community Corrections Institute (2018e). *US Probation Lifefskills Link Program report*. Retrieved from www.correctionslifefskills.com/files/evidence/US_Probation_EB_Report.pdf
- Duwe, G. (2017, June). *The use and impact of correctional programming for inmates on pre- and post-release outcomes*. Retrieved from www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/250476.pdf
- Feucht, T., & Holt, T. (2016, May). *Does cognitive behavior therapy work in criminal justice? A new analysis from Crimesolutions.gov*. Retrieved from <https://nij.ojp.gov/topics/articles/does-cognitive-behavioral-therapy-work-criminal-justice-new-analysis>
- Gellatly, J. et al. (2007). What makes self-help interventions effective in the management of depressive symptoms? Meta-analysis and meta-regression. *Psychological Medicine*, 37(9), 1217–1228. doi:10.1017/S0033291707000062.
- Gottschalk M., & Mayzer R. (n.d.). *Final report on the manualized cognitive behavioral therapy program of the North Dakota District of United States Probation and Pretrial Services*. Retrieved from www.correctionslifefskills.com/files/evidence/US_Probation_North_Dakota_Recidivism_Study.pdf
- Hodge, F. S., Pasqua, A., Marquez, C. A., & Geishirt-Cantrell, B. (2002). Utilizing traditional storytelling to promote wellness in American Indian communities. *Journal of Transcultural Nursing : Official Journal of the Transcultural Nursing Society*, 13(1), 6–11.
- McGrath, M. P. (2018). Making “what works” work for rural districts. *Federal Probation*. 72(2). Retrieved from www.uscourts.gov/sites/default/files/72_2_7_0.pdf
- National Institute of Corrections/ Crime & Justice Institute (n.d.). *Implementing evidence-based practice in community corrections: The principles of effective interventions*. Retrieved from <https://nicic.gov/implementing-evidence-based-practice-community-corrections-principles-effective-intervention>
- Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (2015). TIP 60: Using technology-based therapeutic tools in behavioral health services. Retrieved from <https://store.samhsa.gov/system/files/sma15-4924.pdf>
- Timko et al. (2018). *Criminogenic needs, substance use, and offending among rural stimulant users*. Retrieved from www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5642975/
- TCU Institute of Behavioral Research (n.d.). *TCU Criminal Thinking Scales*. Retrieved from <https://ibr.tcu.edu/forms/tcu-criminal-thinking-scales/>

Trevor Lloyd is President and CEO of ACCI (American Community Corrections Institute). He holds a master's degree in industrial/organizational psychology, and has spent the last decade developing evidence-based life skills courses and programs that increase outcomes for correctional agencies and schools nationwide. He frequently trains and consults with county and state agencies, with a focus on increasing public safety and decreasing recidivism. He can be contacted at tlloyd@accilifefskills.com.